

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JESUS MARTINEZ-GARCIA,

Defendant.

**8:24CR8**

**ORDER**

Defendant has moved to continue the trial, (Filing No. 25), because Defendant needs to consider and decide whether to enter a guilty plea. The motion to continue is unopposed. The undersigned magistrate judge held a telephone conference with the attorneys regarding the motion. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, and the comments of counsel on the telephone conference, the court finds the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's Motion to Continue, (Filing No. 25), is granted.
- 2) The trial of this case is continued pending further order of the court.
- 3) A telephonic conference with counsel will be held before the undersigned magistrate judge at **11:00 a.m. on September 5, 2024** to discuss setting any change of plea hearing or the date of the jury trial. The parties will use Restricted Order [26] for conference connection instructions.
- 4) In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and September 5, 2024**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 8th day of August, 2024.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Michael D. Nelson  
United States Magistrate Judge